

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,735	03/22/2004	Eric Rosenthal	FSP Patent APP	9585
7590 05/04/2005		EXAMINER		
Eric Rosenthal			ASSAF, FAYEZ G	
191 Beacon Hill Road Morganville, NJ 07751-4208				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
		DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/805,735	ROSENTHAL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fayez G. Assaf	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> •				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Additionally, the abstract must be composed of one single paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to
particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the phrase "increased color gamut without the use of filters" which is ambiguous, in that, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be ascertained.

Claim 11, does not end with a period.

Claim 14, the phrase "the frame rate" lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 16-20 lack proper dependency structure and cannot be interpreted for examination.

Correction is required

Application/Control Number: 10/805,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 6, 7, 9, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark (US 5,629,802).

Regarding claim 15, Clark discloses an apparatus incorporating a programmable grating (claim 1).

It is noted that the intended use of the apparatus has not been given patentable weight.

Regarding claim 6, the recitation with regard to "full spectrum images" has been interpreted to mean images in the visible spectrum.

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fateley et al. (US 6,859,275 B2).

Regarding claim 15, Fateley discloses an apparatus incorporating a programmable grating (18 of Fig. 1).

It is noted that the intended use of the apparatus has not been given patentable weight.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, the recitation with regard to "full spectrum images" has been interpreted to mean images in the visible spectrum.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fateley.

Fateley discloses the claimed invention except for the light source being a femto-second laser.

Art Unit: 2872

However, femto-second lasers are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art, to utilize a femto-second laser a broadband radiation source so as to obtain sharper images.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fayez G. Assaf whose telephone number is (571) 272-2307. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 2872

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 6

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fayez G. Assaf Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872

5/2/2005